## DSB PRODUCT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

| Meeting: The 63 <sup>rd</sup> DSB Product Committee Meeting |  |  |   |   |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Date: 13-N  | 1ar-2018   | Time:  | 15.00 – 16.30 BST   | Location:   | Teleconference   |
| Chairperson:  | Sassan Dane  | sh, DSB  |   |   |  |
| In attendance   | : Committe<br>Joseph Be<br>Peter Gra<br>Tia Ellerm<br>Danielle V<br>Michael B<br>Trevor Ma   | Committee Members<br>Joseph Berardo, Intercontinental Exchange<br>Peter Gratwick, JP Morgan<br>Tia Ellerman, Citi<br>Danielle Wissmar, GSAM<br>Michael Bauch, Allianz Global Investors<br>Trevor Mallinson, Bloomberg<br>ANNA Board (observer)   |   | Regulators (Observers)<br>Takahiro Onojima, JSDA<br>Eiichiro Fukase, JSDA<br>Robert Stowsky, CFTC<br>Alejandro De La Rocha, FCA<br>Jeremy Clivaz, FCA<br>DSB Secretariat / PMO<br>Nathan Dagg, DSB<br>Malavika Solanki, DSB |  |
|   | Emma Ka  | elove, Tradew  | -<br>   |   |  |
| Absences: Bill Stennin                                      |  | ing, Sociéte G<br>White, Fidelity  | enerale   |   |  |
| No Top  | oics   |  |   |   |  |
| 1 Ope   | provide a use of<br>Secretariat to of<br>if not response<br><b>Action 185</b> : Sec<br>impacted Rates<br>PC. Given the p<br>the best appro | update, rema<br>update, rema<br>cretariat advis<br>ase for FX Pro<br>contact partici<br>received. Rem<br>cretariat to pro<br>templates ar<br>octential impa<br>ach would be<br>ency, then brin<br>ack from CFI V<br>update, rema<br>update, rema | ain open<br>ain open<br>sed that an industry parti<br>oprietary indices but noth<br>ipant again and time box<br>main open<br>ovide analysis on the intr<br>nd reference against FX ir<br>not on a broad set of DSB<br>from an ISO perspective<br>ng to the PC for discussio<br>VG. Closed<br>ain open<br>ain open | ing has been rece<br>a response to a w<br>roduction of Place<br>nplementation an<br>product template<br>to seek clarity fro   | ived yet.<br>eek before closing<br>of Settlement for<br>d present to the<br>s, it was discussed<br>m the CFI WG to |

## 2 Regulatory Feedback

• DSB provided feedback from received an NCA in relation Rates for Delivery Type (Cash vs Physical), Different (but equivalent) Reference Rates, Forward Starting Swaps

|   | • <b>Delivery Type</b> – there were various comments about the industry understanding of Cash vs Physical for Interest Rates Swaps and how it related to the corresponding CFI value. DSB advised that their understanding from an ISO 10962:2015 (CFI) point of view, that Physical should be used for single currency interest rate swaps that are settled in the same currency; and Cash should be used where the settlement currency differs from the traded currency. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | <ul> <li>It was suggested that CFI (ISO 10962) Working Group (WG) should provide guidance on</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | which values should be used to ensure consistency across the market  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>NCA mentioned that his was not particularly time critical, but a resolution was needed</li> <li>Delivery type qualification for Rates to be sought from CFI WG by having this added to</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>their WG agenda, which can then be brought back to the PC</li> <li>Reference Rates – various comments made about different but equivalent reference rates (e.g. EURIBOR – Reuters vs. EURIBOR – Telerate), and it was commented that certain regulators view these are separately when valuing a swap, but others will take a less granular approach</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Members commented on whether DSB should carry its own mapping of reference rates<br/>currently being sourced from FpML, but it was noted that this would carry its own<br/>complexities and it could be better to point to a consistent source</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | • Forward Starting dates – the topic of forward starting dates was brought up and members had commented on previous discussion in which this attribute was discussed and subsequently omitted from the ISIN due to the complexity this creates e.g. which dates is used, all swap or just forward starting, duplicate ISINs, separate template for forward starting etc.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Members stated that more information and examples would need to be provided in<br/>order to complete a thorough analysis</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>NCA mentioned would like this item to be put in the DSB's backlog of items for<br/>consideration</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Secretariat to carry forward starting date inclusion as an open issue which can be<br/>discussed at a later date</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Product Committee Progress Report  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Secretariat advised the Product Committee Progress Reports for January and February<br/>have now been made available with feedback needed by COB Friday 16<sup>th</sup> March before<br/>being made final</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2018 Product Development   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | • Secretariat item held over for discussion pending broader attendance of PC as this relates to item 5   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | ISIN Hierarchy Discussion  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Secretariat item held over for discussion pending broader attendance of PC   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | ISDA/FpML to ISO mapping Taskforce – Update  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | • Secretariat advised document has been sent back to ISDA for review late last week and is pending finalisation around some questions on delivery type   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Once document has been finalised it will be shared with the PC for review and sign off</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | AOB  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Chair mentioned following the introduction of a new Product Committee member last week, that this was the first in which the member was in attendance and that the new member would serve for the remainder of the PC term</li> <li>A member enquired if a delivery roadmap or schedule could be published for the</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | remainder of the year at some point, in order to help firms plan future development  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | • A member noted the potential need for additional ISIN validation to be conducted and agreed to share examples that might benefit from further consideration  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

• Chair commented that the DSB is currently focusing on stability and performance as primary needs and would be holding a technology webinar on the 22<sup>nd</sup> March to discuss the coming year. It was noted this would form the basis for the potential agenda of the Technology Advisory Committee that will be constructed by the end of Q2

Respectfully submitted,

**DSB** Secretariat

Minutes Approved on: 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2018

| No  | Actions   | Owner   | Target Date |
|-----|---|---------|-------------|
| 153 | DSB PC to continue to conduct bilateral discussions with vendors and index providers to enhance the enumerated Equity index list  | DSB Sec | 31-Mar-18   |
| 165 | Secretariat to develop a Non-Deliverable FX Swap template for delivery in 2018  | DSB Sec | 13-Feb-18   |
| 182 | Secretariat to report back to the PC with the activity over the Easter holidays to help shape the approach for future holidays  | DSB Sec | 10-Apr-18   |
| 184 | Secretariat to obtain a business use case from industry to accommodate ISIN creation over FX Proprietary indices  | DSB Sec | 16-Mar-18   |
| 186 | Secretariat to discuss removal of the validation that prevents<br>0 in the Equity Non-Standard Option Strike price field and<br>revert to the PC with a timeline  | DSB Sec | 27-Mar-18   |
| L87 | Secretariat to investigate the technical requirements along<br>with accept/reject conditions for a nested ISIN request<br>approach and revert to the PC for review  | DSB Sec | 27-Mar-18   |
| L89 | DSB to continue discussion with Commodity index providers<br>with the aim of having an agreed design and an agreement in<br>principle by end of Q3. Smaller milestones to be made<br>available to PC over coming quarters to track progress | DSB Sec | Q3          |
| 190 | Secretariat to publish Challenge Process document for industry consumption  | DSB Sec | 20-Mar-18   |
| 191 | Secretariat to investigate design for the Floating Rate and OIS schema validation on impacted templates and present back to the PC  | DSB Sec | 03-Apr-18   |